

United States Patent and Trademark Office

PAPER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/07/2007

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 06/22/2001 09/886,153 Ornan A. Gerstel 2495.7 5717 7590 09/07/2007 **EXAMINER** FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA BELLO, AGUSTIN NEW YORK, NY 10112 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2613 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•
OPE 403	Application No.	Applicant(s)
CEP 1 0 2007 Enterview Summary	09/886,153	GERSTEL ET AL.
(SEP 1 5)	Examiner	Art Unit
STEWY & THE COUNTY	Agustin Bello	2613
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Agustin Bello.	(3)	
(2) <u>Frank DeLucia</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 August 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference		
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Sato</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \mathbb{N} N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner agreed that the proposed amendment faxed 6/26/07 provided claim language that distinguished the claimed invention in one aspect from Sato. The examiner further agreed that upon filing of an RCE by the applicant the examiner would conduct an updated search for prior art that either anticipated or obviated the claimed invention and having found none, pass the case to issue. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims		
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
Examiner's signature, if required

Organization IC 2000 Bldg/Room 16#
United States Patent and Trademark Office Organization IC 2600

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

USPTO MAIL CENTER

RECEIVED